

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/642,782 08/19/2003		Fung-Chao Tu	BHT-3098-159	8195	
759	90 10/06/2006		EXAMINER		
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			YABUT, DIANE D		
	RG PIKE, SUITE 1404 CH, VA 22041	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
TALLES CHOICE			3734		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
		10/642,782		TU, FUNG-CHAO	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Diane Yabut		3734	
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication ap	pears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addre)ss
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply is specified abootone and the period for reply within the set or extensional specified about the period patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING C under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ng date of this communication. we, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statut than three months after the mailir	DATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, how I will apply and will expire te, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application closed in accordance 	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fir ance except for fo	ormal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are po 4a) Of the above claim 5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) □ Claim(s) is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subj	(s) is/are withdra allowed. rejected. objected to.	awn from conside			
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is obj	ected to by the Examin	er.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed or	•		jected to by the I	Examiner.	•
Applicant may not reque	st that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sh 11) The oath or declaration	eet(s) including the correct is objected to by the E	·			* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is material All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the certified copies	☐ None of: of the priority documen of the priority documen ertified copies of the priority the International Burea	nts have been reconts have been reconts documents hau (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Applicati nave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/642,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-21 and 23, drawn to a multifunctional forceps device, classified in class 606, subclass 144.
 - II. Claim 22, drawn to a loop ligature device, classified in class 606, subclass228.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as being formed by hand or by using a needle. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

Application/Control Number: 10/642,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER